Depressive Traits and Suicide Risk in Young Adults: A Brief Report
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Abstract

The present study examines the predictive role of depressive traits in suicide risk. Using a longitudinal design, 64 undergraduate students completed measures of depressive symptoms and depressive traits at the baseline, and measures of depressive symptoms and suicide risk two months later. Results indicated that, controlling for the depressive symptoms measured at Time–1, depressive traits at Time–1 predicted suicide risk at Time–2. In addition, depressive symptoms measured at Time 2 tend to mediate the effect of Time–1 depressive traits on Time–2 suicide risk. Implications for clinical assessment and intervention are discussed.
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Introduction

Given that suicide is a major mental health issue across the life span, namely in adolescents and young adults, understanding the risk factors for suicide can provide the basis for early intervention. The prediction of suicide remains a complex and difficult task [1] and measures of depressive symptoms and suicide risk two months later. Results indicated that, controlling for the depressive symptoms measured at Time–1, depressive traits at Time–1 predicted suicide risk at Time–2. In addition, depressive symptoms measured at Time 2 tend to mediate the effect of Time–1 depressive traits on Time–2 suicide risk. Implications for clinical assessment and intervention are discussed.

In a cross–sectional study with a sample of 68 college students using the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) and the Depressive Personality Disorder Inventory (DPDI), Lester (1999) [21] found that current depression was associated with current suicidal ideation and that depressive personality was related with prior suicidal ideation.

The present study, using a longitudinal design, examines the role of depressive traits in predicting suicide risk, assessed via the presence of a history of suicidality (i.e., reports of suicide ideation, attempts, intention and the estimation of probability of future attempt). To avoid the limitations of a cross–sectional design, depressive traits were assessed at the baseline and suicide risk was assessed two months later. Depressive symptoms were assessed at the baseline and at Time–2. It is assumed that a given individual may be at risk for suicide independently of significant depressive symptoms at a given moment, given that he or she presents significant depressive traits that predispose the individual to the development of depressive symptoms and suicide risk. The present study may contribute to incremental validity of suicide risk.

It is hypothesized that, controlling for the depressive symptoms measured at Time 1, depressive traits measured at the baseline would predict suicide risk two months later.

Method

Participants and procedures

A sample of 64 undergraduate students (85.9% women), ranging in age from 18 to 33 years (M = 20.57, SD = 4.01), completed measures of depressive symptoms and depressive traits at Time–1 and two months later, at Time–2, measures of depressive symptoms and suicide risk. During class time and in groups of approximately 35 individuals, students received a brief explanation of the study and then completed the questionnaires. Participation was voluntary and participants signed informed consent. From the initial sample of 75 participants, seven did not respond at Time–2 and four were excluded because they failed to provide sufficient identification for matching Time–1 protocols, resulting in the final sample of 64 participants.
excluded participants did not significantly differ from the final sample of 64 neither in regards to age or gender, or in their Time–1 depressive scores.

Measures

The Depressive Traits Inventory (DTI) [26,27]. The DTI is an 80 item self–report questionnaire that assesses a wide range of depressive traits and was constructed based on the psychiatric and psychoanalytic literature regarding depressive personality. The 80 items are presented to subjects as 5 point Likert scales ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree and subjects are asked to describe their typical state of being. It yields a total scale score and scoring for five factors: essential depression, inhibited depression, failure depression, perfectionist depression and relational depression. In the present study only the total scale score was used. The DTI presents adequate psychometric properties, namely internal consistency and predictive validity (see Campos, 2013) [27]. Examples of items include: “I am a sad person”; “It is hard to be alone”; “I frequently blame myself for things I have said or done to other people”; “I often feel that people who are close to me do not give me all the support I need”. In the present study, Cronbach’s a value was 0.94.

The Beck–Depression Inventory–II (BDI–II) [28]. The BDI-II is a well validated measure of depressive symptomatology. It is composed 21 items with four response options that are in accordance with the DSM-IV criteria to diagnose depressive disorders. Responses relate to the intensity with which the individual experienced each symptom in the previous two weeks. The Portuguese version [29] of this questionnaire was administered to assess depressive symptoms. In the present study, Cronbach’s a value was 0.81.

The Suicide Behaviors Questionnaire Revised [30]. This questionnaire is composed of the following four items to assess suicide risk: 1- “Have you ever thought about or attempted to kill yourself?”; 2- “How often have you thought about killing yourself in the past year?”; 3- “Have you ever told someone that you were going to commit suicide, or that you might do it?” And 4- “How likely is that you will attempt suicide someday?” [31]. SBQ–R total scores can vary from 3 to 18 and in this study ranged between 3 and 10. Twelve point five percent of the participants present a total score equal or above the cut–off point of 7 proposed by Osman et al.(2001) and the total mean score obtained was 4.24 (SD=1.66). In the present study, Cronbach’s a value was 0.66.

Data Analysis

To examine the relationship between depressive traits and suicide risk, we used a Hierarchical Multiple Regression Analysis, entering as predictors at Step–1 depressive traits and depressive symptoms measured at Time–1, as well as the depressive symptoms measured at Time–2 at Step–2; suicide risk was entered as the dependent variable.

Results

The overall regression model is significant (F = 8.6, p < .001, R2 = .26). We have tested for multicollinearity. The size of the eigenvalues, the condition index, the variance inflation factor, and the tolerance value did not indicate multicollinearity. Depressive traits measured at Time–1 by the DTI predicted suicide risk measured at Time–2 by the SBQ–R (β = .33, p < .02), while controlling for the effect of the depressive symptoms measured by the BDI–II at Time 1. Depressive symptoms measured at Time–1 did not predict suicide risk at Time–2 (β = .17, ns). When entering depressive symptoms measured by the BDI–II at Time–2 on Step 2 (β = .41, p < .005), the obtained significant relationship between depressive traits and suicide risk (β = .33, p < .02) became non-significant (β = .21, ns), suggesting an indirect/mediation effect1 of the depressive symptoms measured at Time–2 in the relationship between depressive traits and suicide risk (see Figure 1). The Sobel’s Test approached a significant level (z = 1.66, p < .10, two tailed).

![Figure 1: The indirect effect of depressive traits on suicide risk through their effect on depressive symptoms (controlling for initial levels of depressive symptoms)](image)

As a competing model, we tested for the interaction between depressive traits measured at Time–1 and depressive symptoms measured at Time–2 (moderation) in the prediction of suicide risk. However the interaction term was not significant.

Discussion

The present study tested for the predictive role of depressive traits in suicide risk. A longitudinal design used, and 64 young adults (undergraduate students) completed measures of depressive symptoms and depressive traits at the baseline (Time 1), and measures of depressive symptoms and suicide risk two months later (Time 2).

As expected, according to the results, depressive traits predicted suicide risk (assessed by the history of suicidality) two months later. Depressive symptoms measured at Time 2 tend to mediate the effect of Time–1 depressive traits on Time–2 suicidality.

The trait–state distinction has major advantages in psychological assessment and can be applied to depression [24]. According to the present results, assessment of depression should be broadened and clinicians should not merely assess the client’s symptomatic presentation but also his or her underlying personality traits. Interventions for suicidal individuals should also focus on personality, rather than simply reducing clinical symptoms of depression.

This study presents several limitations. It is important to note that the relationship between depressive traits and history of suicide risk was assessed in a small non-clinical sample, with few male participants.

1 Depressive traits also predict depressive symptoms measured at Time 2, controlling for depressive symptoms measured at Time 1 (β = .28, p < .02).
and was limited to self-report measures. The results should be compared with findings from high-risk samples. It should also be stressed that the present results were obtained over a relatively brief period of time -2 months. Future studies should expand this period of time.

Despite its limitations, the current study's findings have important clinical implications. Instead of exclusively focusing on current depressive symptoms, clinicians should assess for more stable depressive personality characteristics, since they can be a risk factor for suicide. Even when a given client does not present significant depressive symptoms, he or she may be at risk for future suicide risk, because of the vulnerability of underlying personality traits. It should be stressed that according to our findings, personality traits may be more predictive of suicide risk than symptoms of depression.
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